IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 5824 /2005

PETITIONER : Sanjay Kumar,
S/o Shri Kaluram,
Aged about 26,
Occupation Aurved Compounder,
R/o Village- Sambalpur, Tahsil-Baikunthpur, Distt. North Baster, Kanker (C.G.).

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Public Health & Family Welfare Department and Medical Education Department,
Mantralaya, D. K. S. Bhawan,
Shastri Chowk, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Director,
Indian Medicine System and Homeopathy, Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.).

3. Collector,
North Baster, Kanker (C.G.).

4. District Aurved Officer,
North Baster, Kanker (C.G.).

5. Aurved Medical Officer,
Govt. Aurved Hospital,
Sambalpur, Tahsil-Baikunthpur, North Baster,
Kanker (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

Details of the writ

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

37

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 5824/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12-12-2005

Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Adv. for State, on advance copy.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed for contract period. It further submitted that since he was a witness in a complaint case, and he was called for recording statement as per annexure P-8, he is not being allowed to continue.

Learned State counsel submits that the petition has been filed straightway and no notice for demand of justice has been served on the respondents. If the petitioner serves a notice for demand of justice, the same will be considered.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within three weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

M.W.P. No. 4621/2005 and I.A. No. 9736/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये